

**Radcliffe-on-Trent Parish Council
Neighbourhood Plan Working Group
Minutes of the meeting held in the Radcliffe Room, The Grange on Monday 07 December 2015,
at 6.00pm**

Present:

Radcliffe on Trent Parish Council Representatives Jacki Grice – Parish Clerk, Cllr Martin Culshaw– Chairman Planning and Environment Committee, Cllr Keith Agar – Vice Chairman Planning and Environment Committee
Rushcliffe Borough Council: Cllr Roger Upton (N.P Chairman) Phillip Marshall – Senior Planning Officer (Technical Advisor)
Residents: Rod Brears, Sue Clegg, Alison Williams, Josephine Spencer, Paul Spencer, Maggie Holmes, Mike Tomlinson, Martin Ryder
Business Representative: David Eggleston
Parish Council Attendees: Cllrs Georgia Moore (Chairman), David Barton (Vice Chairman) Gillian Dunn, Alice Tomlinson, John Thorn, Graham Budworth

Apologies:

Radcliffe on Trent Parish Council: Sally Horn, Bill Banner, Jean Robinson
Residents: Mark Shardlow,
Nottinghamshire County Council: Cllr Kay Cutts
BPUD: Jo Samuels

1. **Welcome**

The Chairman thanked all for attending and congratulated Bob for his work pulling all the consultation comments together in such a short space of time.

2. **Declarations of Interest**

None received.

3. **Approval of Minutes of Meeting held on 24 September 2015**

It was **Resolved:** “that the minutes are approved and signed by the NP Chairman, Cllr Roger Upton.”

4. **Matters Arising from the Minutes**

There were no matters arising.

5. **Presentation of draft NP (after public consultation) by Bob Phillips of BPUD Consultants**

- 95% of responses were positive and the remaining 5% negative responses were mainly the four developers that responded. Each policy was reviewed:

Policy 1. Village Core First

- Refer to education facilities in addition to other community facilities.
- Concerns from developers that because the policy refers to additional facilities in the village core, then the village may miss out on facilities that could be accommodated on the edge of the village. (Noted that the Junior school has space for additional facilities and that the plan states to encourage only it does not state must be in the village core). N.C.C Highways would be asked for clarification on issues raised with traffic congestion.

Policy 2. Public Space

- Refers to people with reduced mobility or visual impairment – agreed to widen this to the less abled.

Policy 3. Main Road Regeneration Area

- Feedback from members of the public is lack of detail, however this was deliberately written in a way for flexibility. – Include reference ‘consideration of projects and the impact on the village core as a whole.’

Policy 4. Local Green Spaces

- Agreed – omit site 13 (Orchard off Ridge Lane).
- Dewberry Hill Boundary incorrect on plan. – to amend.

Policy 5. Local Leisure Provision

- Holme Pierrepont – Spelling error
- Bingham Road Playing Fields – Refer policy to an explanatory reference.

Policy 6. Biodiversity Network

- Include reference ‘all schemes to encourage wildlife and biodiversity etc.’

Policy 7. Pedestrians First

- Support for a crossing over the railway – Refer policy to an explanatory reference.

Policy 8. Public Transport

- Add in term ‘where appropriate’ and refer policy to explanatory reference with regard to bus service improvements.

Policy 9. Railway Station

- Include reference to access for disabled/visually impaired and strengthen the policy due to potential Economic Growth Board funding bids.

Policy 10. Residential Development Strategy

- With the exception of policy no.10, (Residential Development Strategy) the plan is in good shape, Bob has yet to speak with Phillip Marshall at the Borough Council with regard to RBC comments via the consultation. RBC have suggested taking the percentages out with regard to Housing Allocation and amending with an East/West split instead. The change of wording needs to refer to infrastructure as an angle, i.e. the housing numbers need to be in keeping with the balance of the infrastructure in the village. RBC will not support the currently policy showing percentage splits due to the impending Green Belt Review. It is still unclear whether a sustainability appraisal will be required, this depends on the final written policy. If it is kept detailed then it is likely that a SA would be needed, this work is not currently in the brief and Bob would provide a paper and costs within a week. This additional work may mean that the plan would struggle to meet the deadline for signing off at Full Council on 15 February 2016. A change in policy 10 also impacts on the Village Regeneration Policy, but all agreed to ‘hold the line’ on this.

Policy 11. Infill Development

- No changes

Policy 12. Housing Mix

- Ensure policy applies to market housing only. Change wording as conflict between policies 12 and 13 re densities. Tweak to bring in line with RBC policy.

Policy 13. Housing Density

- Alter wording to address conflict, as stated above.
- Evidential work may be needed for settlement edge policies.

Policy 14. Business and Enterprise

- A few technical tweaks required only.

Policy 15. Design and Layout

- Ensure complies with disability regulations – put in explanatory note.
- Review building regulations – possibly remove some references.

Policy 16. Vernacular Architecture

- A few technical tweaks required only.

General

- Include statement in Village Core that all schemes are encouraged to discourage through traffic.
- Put a link in glossary re flood risk

6. **Date of Next Meeting**

Thursday 28 January 2016

The meeting ended at 7.15pm

Approved by:.....Date.....